



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/751,708	01/05/2004	William L. Berg	B044 P00773-US1	2267	
3017 7	590 06/16/2005	EXAMINER			
•	OSEPHS & HOLMES	ROYAL, PAUL			
101 DYER STI 5TH FLOOR	KEEI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
PROVIDENCE	E, RI 02903	3611	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
			DATE MAILED: 06/16/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/75	51,708	BERG, WILLIAM	L.			
		Exam	iner	Art Unit				
	•	Paul I	Royal	3611				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHO THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI asions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum stree to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In unication. 0) days, a reply within that tory period will apply a will, by statute, cause the	no event, however, may a reply be e statutory minimum of thirty (30) d and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froe application to become ABANDO!	timely filed lays will be considered timely me the mailing date of this of	ly. communication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>05 Januar</i> y	2004	•				
·	• •	2b) ☐ This action		•				
′=	_							
Disposition of Claims								
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction	re withdrawn fron						
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	PTO-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail					
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:		O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/751,708

Art Unit: 3611

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claim 1 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising:

Species 1, Figures 1-4,

Species 2, Figure 5

2. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. A telephone call was made to Attorney Mark Tetreault on 06/13/05 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Page 2

Page 3

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Royal whose telephone number is 571-272-6652. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley D. Morris can be reached on 571-272-6651. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

P. Royal 6/13/2005

Paul Royal Examiner Art Unit 3611

BRIAN K. GREEN PRIMARY EXAMINER